Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	narv	10/707,434	BERGER, SETH	DAVID
Examiner-initiated interview Summ	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tiffany A. Fetzner	2859	
All Participants:	Status of Application: After first Office Action			
(1) Tiffany A. Fetzner (Examiner).		(3)		
(2) Seth David Berger (Pro-Se Applicant).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 23 August 2005		Time: <u>1:50pm</u>		•
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a bnef description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: None				
Claims discussed: None				·
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TO See Continuation Sheet	HE GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
		•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appro	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Pro-Se Inventor Seth David Berger on August 23rd 2005 in order to determine the status of the instant application, and to render assistance to Pro-Se inventor Seth David Berger, if necessary on how to file a response, to the office action of February 23rd 2005, since August 23<sup>rd</sup> 2005 is the last day a response may be timely filed. The inventor informed the examiner that he did not desire to file a response because he no longer wished to pursue the application, due to time and monatary constraints. The examiner informed him that a Notice of ABANDONMENT would be sent out on August 24<sup>th</sup> 2005. The inventor thanked the examiner for her time, and her conscienceciousness in following up with applicant thrioughout the examination process, and on the last day of the time period for reply, since applicant did not have an attorney.